Penn Fellowships in Neuroscience & Society

Neurolaw Afternoon
Neuroscience and responsibility

• Set aside metaphysical challenge from determinism
• Neuro is relevant as handmaiden to (folk) psychology
  – What kind of psychological information is relevant?
Mens rea

*Actus non facit reum nisi mens sit rea.*
The act does not make a person guilty unless the mind be also guilty.
Levels of culpability

- Depend on mens rea
- Eg first degree murder requires premeditation; second degree (lower level of culpability) does not.
Excuses: Insanity

“If a madman or a natural fool, or a lunatic in the time of his lunacy do [kill a man], this is no felonious act for they cannot be said to have any understanding will.” (England, 1581)
Excuses: Insanity

The M'Naughten rule, 1843

...at the time of the committing of the act, the party accused was labouring under such a defect of reason, from disease of the mind, as not to know the nature and quality of the act he was doing; or, if he did know it, that he did not know he was doing what was wrong.

In some jurisdictions, “irresistible impulse” also counts.
Excuses: Insanity

The Durham rule (1954):
a defendant cannot be found criminally responsible "if his unlawful act was the product of mental disease or mental defect."
Excuses: Insanity

Then came Hinckley:
Excuses: Insanity

Backlash against allowing “pampered criminals” to “kill with impunity” (in Dan Quayle’s words)
Mental illness must be severe; many new procedural hurdles; 3 states abolish insanity defense.
Excuses: Insanity

Q. Where does neuroscience fit in?

A. As evidence of a defendant’s mental status*
   eg:
   CAT scan of Hinckley’s brain
   PET scan of Weinstein’s brain

*ie as “handmaiden to psychology”
Two phases of a criminal trial

• Guilt
• Penalty
  – Legally, more room for psychology and neuroscience in sentencing phase
Mitigation at sentencing

Even if a brain abnormality does not lead to acquittal by reason of insanity, it can lessen the punishment.
Excuses: Immaturity

- Babies get a free pass
- What about children? Teens?
Adolescent brain development

Why do most 16-year-olds drive like they’re missing a part of their brain?

Because they are.

Allstate Insurance advertisement
Adolescent brain development

• Dual systems explanation

Lawrence Steinberg, BJ Casey
Excuses: Immaturity

• Roper v. Simmons – 2005 Supreme Court ruling on death penalty for juveniles
  – APA and other orgs filed amicus briefs referring to neuroscience evidence on immature PFC
  – Court rules death penalty unconstitutional but does not cite neuro evidence
Excuses: Immaturity

• Graham v. Florida – 2010 Supreme Court ruling on life imprisonment for juveniles
  – Extends Roper beyond death penalty
  – Neuro is cited:

“As petitioners amici point out, developments in psychology and brain science continue to show fundamental differences between juvenile and adult minds. For example, parts of the brain involved in behavior control continue to mature through late adolescence. ... Juveniles are more capable of change than are adults, and their actions are less likely to be evidence of irretrievably depraved character than are the actions of adults.”
In conclusion

• Neuroscience intersects with law in multiple ways – all of which are practical, not philosophical
• Mens rea, excuses, mitigation at sentencing
• More ways to come in part 3!